

Chapter 2: Globahomo

Opening Statement:

In this essay, we will be tackling degeneracy and the effects incurred by it on society and why it continues to be a problem.

Professor Credo:

Personally, I would like to tackle more wide aspects of why our society as a whole has started to fall on decadence. Its not just the sexual aspects that are the root of all evil, but also an influx on new ideas that dont have respect for the establishment, claiming true over everything, and a slow but gently replacement of cultural factors that is not only being pushed by inmigrants as seen on Europe, but also by their own similars, that seek "justice", even if that means destroying everything on their path. This people let their feelings take their best of them, and this is also fueled by our society, that will push individuals into the group rather than their own opinions, for fear of rejection, or worst.

My parthners and friends on this work are more versatile on the matter, while I seem to fade into shyness, fear or negation itself, and is in reality that the idea of nowadays world, is a view that our ancestors never wished or imagined to see. It might be on my own opinion though, but we cannot let things slide so easy as how others had done lately. Soon enough we will see how taboo topics get normalized (if they hadent been normalized already), and the concecuences and reasons of why this topics where forbideen or seen on a negative way.

On my deeppest desires, I wish none of this was true, but sadly it is, our people accept the unacceptable more and more every day, and slowly but surely, the tables will turn, and those who where seen insane will be talking true, while those who where simple normal people, will have to chose beteewn becoming "insane outcasts" with primitive ideas, or embrace the madness of the world.

The normalization of the inmoral

Let's go back to a couple of years ago, the 90's, 50's, or even as backwards as the 1800's! We can clearly see a big difference on the actitud of people, on what is wrong or not on this different eras, and yet, the question I wanna rise with this examples (and with such an extremist one as bringing an era like the 1800's), is to point out the normalization of things we never saw being done on such ages (granted, the 80's saw a big influx of new ideas, and they had always existed on the past, but is here were we can also see a decline on the individual's mind).

Its not normal to see people scream about trans or gay rights on such eras like 1930, isnt it? but nowaday's it is, and people praise them, they cheer for them, and even applaud and call them

brave for it.

The big problem with this is the problems that drags with them.

One big problem that can be found on the ideas of transgender is an even more deep rooted personal and emotional problem on the individual, rather than "he being born with the incorrect gender".

A child who was abused will think and act differently to a child who had a normal childhood. Same with the influenced ones who from one day to another say "I wanna be a princess". Those fathers who think that their kid wants to be from the opposite gender seem to forget this are kids we are talking with, and not adults (and this should also apply to even younger adults around their 18) and they don't know exactly what they want, just as how they can desire to see a movie or play a video game, they might not want to do those things the next day.

The idea of transgender is one of mental instability, where a person has suffered through his life to the culminating point where he decides to change it all, to take a different person, with the hopes of finding happiness, and yet, we had seen that the suicide rates for this people are around the 40% and increasing.

Instead of helping this people, society has decided to "help" them on other, self-destructive ways, inciting them to do it, to keep harming themselves and to incite others to do so, thus repeating a cycle of tormented men and women who ruin their lives on the search of happiness and improvement of their situation, as a way of escapism from their actual reality.

Dr N. Iqmar:

The roots of degeneracy in society are undoubtedly connected to Jewish influence. The Jews often use the poison of liberalism along with other forms of societal decay that weaken societal and economic powers at be. Because of the Jews extremely ethnocentric, nepotistic, desire for power, and for thirst for destruction (typically of the white race, def. LoXism), they have been at the epicenter and root of most degeneracy in society controlling institutions such as the media, banks, government, industries, etc. While this essay is not solely targeted at the Jews role in the destruction of society, I believe it is important to acknowledge the root of degeneracy if we are to weed it out.

After all, as long as a weed has roots in the soil, it has the potential grow back from a severed stem. This is why degeneracy can not just be pushed back or begrudgingly dug in our heels at (that conservatism has tried and failed to do) because it only delays the inevitable. We must root it out at the source lest it comes back to plague us again.

Feminism is by far one of the worst, and also typically first, form of degeneracy that has historically lead to collapse of societies. Giving women any rights over men and or equal to men will always result in a catastrophic long-term failure hurting society overall as a result of weak men.

When women are given the right to vote, they choose to vote for bigger government. But why is this? Women will vote for bigger government typically because they base their decisions often in emotions and thus often vote for politicians that give them a sense of security. Big Brother is actually just the woman's ideal society because women don't want freedom, they want to feel safe. This has to do also with women having [less brain matter](#) than their male counter-parts. Women don't operate in logic like men do; they operate based on emotions. It makes sense when you consider that they rely more on emotions for decision making than men do, resulting in the typical voting patterns of women for bigger government.

Quite frankly, women should be treated akin to adult children, because that is what they reflect the most. Some will call them teenagers which is also a fair evaluation of women. But one thing is clear: women are not equal to men and biologically never will be. So to give them equal vote and rights to men is just as much absurd as saying men and women are biologically equal. Also, interestingly, women are more inclined to parasitic actions than men, such as lying, denoted by [more prefrontal white matter than men on average](#). Children are also prone to lying to get what they want; however, just like in the women's case, neither are justified on the surface and both should be punished accordingly.

However, feminism puts women on a pedestal, untouchable by any men, lest they face society's wrath for "hurting a poor woman's feelings". Putting women on this pedestal divides men and women on a societal level turning them against each other and away from traditional relationships being in a pressured state of conflict. It's unnatural for women to be equal to men: it destroys the sense of a traditional relationship where a man provides for a woman, and the woman provides for the man's kids. Nowadays under feminism, both men and women are expected to work making both sexes unhappy with their conditions as neither of them feel as fulfilled as they would in a traditional relationship.

Thus, women should not be in the workforce, especially if married. It is a man's duty to provide for his wife and family, not a woman's. Women are happier raising their children knowing a man is providing for them, feeling secure in their own living situation. When women first entered the work force, they doubled the labor supply on a stagnant demand, and of course, economically, this resulted in wages being cut. Now men and women have to work just to provide for their families, no more single worker incomes from the father of the family. All this has done is further weaken the nuclear family and women's power in society in general being forced to compete with men when they did not have to.

Seneca the Sage:

Man is filled with sin. It is discipline and temperance which holds back the urges of man, so that they will not be consumed in the flames of their vices. Discipline and temperance come through introspection and through societal pressures. These limiters of sinful behavior have been removed through various groups who advocate for sinful behavior. Though the advocates of these movements vary, and their goals are numerous, the names of these demons' ideologies are as follows: Marxism, LGBTQ+, apathy, sexual liberation, feminism, contemporary

liberalism/conservatives, atheism, nihilism, and various other anti-traditionalist movements. Let us discuss each in their own respect, though this will be a mere introduction.

Marxism has many different manifestations, whether it be through communism, through Leninism, through bolshevism, through socialism, through anarchism, through syndicalism, or through some other name. Each of these deserve their own denouncement, but for the sake of simplicity each will be addressed under the parent-ideology of Marxism. Let us ask ourselves: why is Marxism such a degenerative force upon society? Marxism is a plea for [equality, equity, and dissolution of the government](#).

One of the most unfair things to do is to make unequal things equal. Consider a boxer with a training program tailored to his needs, he is proficient and capable of much. His body lends itself to the art of boxing. Yet his performance will be reduced if we are to streamline his training program and mannerisms in order to make his training equal to that of the novice. This is applied to schooling, where there is the idea of 'no student left behind'. This program, adopted through various means by many nations, has lowered the [quality of education](#), [lowered academic performance](#), and [raised the students discontent with the education they receive](#). The class will slow itself down to cater to the slowest of the students. Advanced classes cannot make up for this loss, as the brilliance of some students surpass the content delivered there. Even then, some others will fail to come into those classes as they are tainted with the bad behavior of the others in the class.

Equity is simply a more intense version of equality. To stay in line with the former example, this is akin to students having resources taken away from their education in order to supplement the deficiencies of the slower students. Affirmative action does exactly that, giving quotas to demographics who are inferior to the average/other groups. This way the game will continue to be "fair". In no means is equaling out the playing field a fair or just action, though what is and what is not just is a discussion of its own.

Let us not forget the cry for the dissolution of government, which comes from the idea that the masses can govern themselves. Naturally, there are steps to arrive here, which is first to create a massive government. In many instances this can be ignored by those who practice Marxist ideals, as they will wish to skip to the idea of anarchism. Still, they all inhibit the same ideas: The destruction of the government through one means or another. The government may be destroyed by growing it, as this expands the deficit, decreases the public's trust in the government, and diversifies its interests. Consider the [wide range of interest groups](#) which meddle with government, students of politics might even recognize the [iron triangle involving those interest groups and the vast amount of influence they hold](#). A government that is divided among various interests is unable to act and will thus be destroyed through internal conflict.

Consider all these demands, core to Marxist ideology, in which means have these beliefs manifested themselves? Through the various demons outlined earlier, "LGBTQ+, apathy, sexual liberation, feminism, contemporary liberalism/conservatives, atheism, nihilism", [advocates for Marxism will often also advocate for these various other groups](#), with the exception for contemporary conservatism.

What we have gathered is that Marxism will even the playing field through blunting the sharpness of the finest. It will, through means of equity, remove wealth from many in order to supplement the poorest. The undeserving will be given the fruits of hard-working families. The

government will have to deem everyone equal, even those who are incapable of learning, and the worse off you are, the more you may rely upon welfare. This is all while the government is picked apart and simultaneously bloated up. This society produced by Marxism is a ticking time bomb, ready to collapse at any moment.

The LGBTQ+ movement